a week in length.

5.

one day in length.

2

1

2

# AT&T PROPRIETARY

### WHAT IS CLAIMED IS:

1	1. A method for maintaining performance of a network having at least one		
2	element, comprising the steps of:		
3	periodically monitoring at least one attribute of the one network element at		
4	successive first intervals;		
5	comparing each monitored attribute obtained during each successive first interval		
6	to a corresponding threshold associated with the attribute to establish an historical trend		
7	for each network element;		
8	determining, from the historical trend associated with each of monitored element		
9	if there exists at least one critical attribute of each monitored elements that warrants		
10	closer scrutiny, and if so;		
11	periodically monitoring the at least one critical attribute during successive second		
12	intervals, each shorter than each said first interval, to determine whether the each		
13	monitored element exhibits persistent performance degradation.		
1	2. The method according to claim 1 further including the step of altering the		
2	one monitored network exhibiting persistent performance degradation to ameliorate the		
3	degradation.		
1	3. The method according to claim 1 further including the steps of:		
2	monitoring each network element that exhibits persistent performance degradation		
3	in real time at successive third intervals that are shorter than the successive second		
4	intervals.		
1	4. The method according to claim 1 wherein each successive first interval is		

The method according to claim 1 wherein each successive first interval is

a week in length.

2

# AT&T PROPRIETARY

1	6.	The method according to claim 1 wherein each successive first interval is	
2	one hour in length.		
1	7.	The method according to claim 1 wherein each successive second interval	
2	is ten minutes in length.		
1	8.	A method for maintaining performance of a network having at least one	
2	element, comprising the steps of:		
3	periodically monitoring at least one attribute of the one network element at		
4	successive first intervals, said one attributed determined from a failure model for said one		
5	network element;		
6	comparing each monitored attribute obtained during each successive first interval		
7	to a corresponding threshold associated with the attribute to establish an historical trend		
8	for each network element;		
9	determining, from the historical trend associated with each of monitored element		
10	if there exists at least one critical attribute of one of said monitored elements that		
11	warrants closer scrutiny, and if so;		
12		periodically monitoring at least one critical attribute during successive	
13	second intervals, each shorter than each said first interval, to determine whether said		
14	monitored element exhibits persistent performance degradation.		
1	9.	The method according to claim 8 further including the steps of:	
.2	moni	toring each network element that exhibits persistent performance degradation	
3	in real time at successive third intervals that are shorter than the successive second		
4	intervals.		
1	10	The method according to claim & wherein each successive first interval is	

1

2

3

## 2000-**0534** - Chakravarti-Eslambolchi-Hellstern-Medamana September 14, 2001

#### AT&T PROPRIETARY

- 1 11. The method according to claim 8 wherein each successive first interval is 2 one day in length.
- 1 12. The method according to claim 8 wherein each successive first interval is 2 one hour in length.
- 1 13. The method according to claim 8 wherein each successive second interval 2 is ten minutes in length.
  - 14. The method according to claim 8 further including the step of determining from the failure mode of the monitored element which if any additional attributes require monitoring upon detecting a performance degradation.